-	-	-
6	-3	

File	With		

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal No ABP— 314485-22	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submis from Bul Doolco I and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked No new material	recommend that section 131 of the Planning d at this stage for the following reason(s):
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks	for reply.
Signed EO	Date 9/12/2023
SEO/SAO	Date
M Discourse DD Oction 404 at its	
Please prepare BP — Section 131 notice To	enclosing a copy of the attached submission. Allow 2/3/4 weeks
Signed	Date
EO	
Signed AA	Date



Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference NPA-OBS-002892

Online Observation Details			
Contact Name Paul Doolan	Lodgement Date 12/12/2023 14:55:39	Case Number / Description 314485	
Payment Details			
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name Paul Doolan Doolan	Payment Amount €50.00	
Processing Section			
S.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attached 1 Signed FO EO	Date	- Invalid	
Fee Refund Requisition			
Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of	Lodgement N	•	
€	LDG—		
Reason for Refund			
Documents Returned to Observer Yes N		iled to Senior Executive Officer fo rApproval	
Signed	Date		
EO			
Finance Section Payment Reference	Checked Aga	inst Fee Income Online	
ch_3OMXN1B1CW0EN5FC1ab	DZ8Jt5 EO/AA (Acco.	unts Section)	
Amount	Refund Date		
€			
Authorised By (1)	Authorised B	y (2)	
SEO (Finance)	Chief Officer/L Member	Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board	
Date	Date		

Paul Doolan

Malabracha, Lagore Big, Ratoath, Co Meath A85N257

An Bord Pleanála Case reference: PL06F.314485 Planning Authority Case Reference: F20A/0668

To whom it concerns,

My family and I are some of the 30,000 people impacted by the illegal flight paths being run off the north runway at Dublin airport. I am living on the outskirts of Ratoath directly under a flight path which bears no resemblance to the planning permission granted.

This has seen all flights going to southern Europe and north America flying directly over Ratoath before banking south or west at altitudes of between 3000ft and 6000ft.

The noise maps and environmental report submitted as part of the 2007 planning application are based on flight paths not being operated. None of the noise maps provided in the planning application show Ratoath to be impacted by aircraft noise yet I have recorded noise in excess of 80 dB from aircraft in the area.

Excluding the fact that the applicant is not compliant with the planning permission that was granted. To attempt to loop around Ratoath and under Dunshaughlin is totally nonsensical, as the towns, even now (without future expansion) are too close together to avoid housing estates, schools, nursing homes and creches being directly overflown and the general noise pollution that this creates.

To plot a noise preferential route directly at a heavily populated town like Ratoath is baffling, considering Dunshaughlin, Ratoath and Ashbourne are surrounded on all sides by thousands of acres of low density farmland. The long term effects of excessive aircraft noise pollution are now well documented. To intentionally expose over 30,000 people to the effects of this without any prior consultation and in breach of planning conditions is wrong. This flight path would never of been permitted had it gone through the required regulatory procedure.

Prior to buying our home in the area in 2019, I checked the flight paths for the North runway, multiple times in both the 2007 planning application and the 2016 noise consultation documents, as we have a six year old in the house with sensory issues. Everyday now we have issues with aircraft noise. How can flight paths be imposed on people without any warning or consultation, without the option to plan whether to live in an affected area or not? Planning laws exist to prevent this behaviour.

The EIAR contained within this application has been commissioned by the DAA and so is not independent of potential bias. This report is based on the illegal flight paths operating off the North

runway not the flight paths that the DAA have permission for and so the future projections contained within are not valid or admissible.

The proposed change in this application is based on "actual routes flown" not the routes that were submitted as part of the 2007 planning application. The "permitted" noise zones in this submission do not match the noise mapping contained within the 2007 planning application. This was one of the most important aspects of the original planning application and is being ignored. This is a clear case of non compliance of planning permission and is illegal.

Over the past sixteen years, since the 2007 application was granted, much development has been granted and restricted according to these flight paths and noise models. It is because aircraft noise is so detrimental to communities, Fingal County Council have sought to mitigate this impact. For the DAA to ignore this now, is not only illegal but fundamentally wrong.

To compound this, it has been disgusting to watch how the DAA have handled this over the past eighteen months. Both in the media, by pedalling disinformation, fear mongering and blatant lies and on the ground through complete non engagement with affected parties. A semi state body, that has no meaningful commercial competition should hold itself and be held to a higher standard then this.

In relation to the extension of operating hours from 11.00pm to 7am to midnight to 6am. This was a stipulation on the original planning application to protect people from the effects of aircraft noise and should remain in place. Please do not underestimate the difference an hour each side of a sleep cycle makes. As soon as the first transatlantic flight passes over the area both of my children are woken typically around 7.20am, while the first transatlantic flight out of the airport off the south runway is typically 05.40am. As I have said above, prior to buying our home in the area in 2019, I checked the flight paths for the North runway multiple times in both the 2007 planning application and the 2016 noise consultation as we have a six year old in the house with sensory issues. Please do not underestimate the effect this has on people and families on a daily basis.

Regards,

Paul Doolan